United States of America

Page 1 of 2 (Page 2 Not for Public Disclosure)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Middle District of Georgia

v.) Case No: 5:19-CR-00076-001
Joseph Ballard) Case No. 5.19-CR-00070-001
	USM No: 01612-120
Date of Original Judgment: 11/09/2021 Date of Previous Amended Judgment: 11/15/2021 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)	Kenneth Ronald Smith Defendant's Attorney
	N FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. §	3582(c)(2) (Amendment 821)
that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by	imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range Amendment 821 to the <i>United States Sentencing Guidelines</i> , and the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
☑ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's	previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in nths is reduced to
of supervised release, for the offense of Possession with Intent history category of VI, yielded an advisory sentencing range of	to a term of 151 months custody followed by a three-year term to Distribute Heroin. His total offense level of 29 and a criminal f 151 to 188 months. He had a total criminal history score of nine oder. Pursuant to USSG §4B1.1(b), a career offender's criminal
history points should receive one additional point (instead of tinstant offense was committed. Further, Part B, subchapter 1 of reduction under the newly created provision found at USSG § points from Chapter 4, Part A. In this case, neither reduction apparent controlled by his designation as a Career Offender. There 1B1.10(a)(2), a reduction in a defendant's term of imprisonment authorized under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), where the amendment	now provides that a defendant with seven (7) or more criminal two points) for being under a criminal justice sentence when the Amendment 821 provides an eligible defendant with a two-level \$4C1.1(a), if the defendant did not receive any criminal history plies, as the defendant's criminal history category was determined fore, his sentencing range does not change. Pursuant to USSG § int is not consistent with this policy statement and therefore is not at does not have the effect of lowering the defendant's applicable grange remains unchanged, his Motion to Reduce Sentence is counsel is DENIED for the reasons stated above.
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgmen	t dated 11/09/2021 shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	0.11 87.0
Order Date: 4424	Judge's signature
Effective Date:	Tillman E. Self, III, U.S. District Judge
(if different from order date)	Printed name and title